Case 1:09-cv-01714-DAB Document 69 Filed 1

Cahill Gordon & Reinde

EIGHTY PINE STREET NEW YORK, NY 10005-1702

Filed 11/30/4/C Page 1 of 2
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
EET
DAGE FOR 11/30/1/
5-1702

FLOYD ABRAMS L. HOWARD ADAMS ROBERT A. ALESSI HELENE R. BANKS LANDIS C. BEST SUSAN BUCKLEY KEVIN J. BURKE JAMES J. CLARK BENJAMIN J. COHEN CHRISTOPHER T. COX STUART G. DOWNING ADAM M. DWORKIN JENNIFER B. EZRING PATRICIA FARREN JOAN MURTAGH FRANKEL JONATHAN J. FRANKEL BART FRIEDMAN CIRO A. GAMBONI

WILLIAM B. GANNETT CHARLES A. GILMAN STEPHEN A. GREENE ROBERT M. HALLMAN WILLIAM M HARTNETT CRAIG M. HOROWITZ DOUGLAS S. HOROWITZ DAVID G. JANUSZEWSKI ELAI KATZ THOMAS J. KAVALER BRIAN S KELLEHER DAVID N. KELLEY CHÉRIE R. KISER* EDWARD P. KRUGMAN JOEL KURTZBERG ALIZA R. LEVINE JOEL H. LEVITIN GEOFFREY E. LIEBMANN TELEPHONE: (212) 701-3000

FACSIMILE: (212) 269-5420

1990 K STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1181
(202) 862-8900

FAX: (202) 862-8958

AUGUSTINE HOUSE
6A AUSTIN FRIARS
LONDON, ENGLAND ECZN ZHA
(011) 44.20,7920.9800

FAX: (011) 44.20,7920.9825

MICHAEL MACRIS ANN S. MAKICH JONATHAN I. MARK BRIAN T. MARKLEY GERARD M. MEISTRELL WILLIAM J. MILLER ATHY A. MOBILIA NOAH B. NEWITZ MICHAEL J. OHLER DAVID R. OWEN JOHN PAPACHRISTOS LUIS R. PENALVER DEAN RINGEL JAMES ROBINSON THORN1ROSENTHAL TAMMY L. ROY JONATHAN A. SCHAFFZIN JOHN SCHUSTER MICHAEL A. SHERMAN DARREN SILVER HOWARD G, SLOANE SUSANNA M. SUH ANTHONY K. TAMA JONATHAN D. THIER JOHN A. TRIPODORO GLENN J. WALDRIP, JR. MICHAEL B. WEISS S. PENNY WINDLE COREY WRIGHT DANIEL J. ZUBKOFF ADAM ZUROFSKY

*ADMITTED IN DC ONLY

September 27, 2011

CHAMBERS OF DEBORAH A. BATTS

Re: In re Deutsche Bank AG Securities Litigation (Case No. 09-cv-1714-DAB)

Dear Judge Batts:

We represent the Deutsche Bank and Individual Defendants in the above-referenced matter, and write on behalf of Defendants in response to Plaintiffs' letter dated September 23, 2011 requesting a pre-motion conference on their intended motion to compel discovery.

Plaintiffs' conclusory assertions notwithstanding, the Second Circuit's decision in Fait v. Regions Financial Corp., No. 10-cv-2311, 2011 WL 3667784 (2d Cir. Aug. 23, 2011), is "binding precedent for this Court, that advances new reasoning addressing a significant issue in the case and warrants revisiting the Court's analysis" In re Salomon Analyst Litigation, 373 F. Supp. 2d 252, 256 (S.D.N.Y. 2005) (Lynch, J.). It is consistent with the policy of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act ("PSLRA") to stay discovery in this matter. See id.

As discussed in our letter to Plaintiffs dated September 14, 2011 (attached to Plaintiffs' letter to Your Honor), decisions in other districts hold that the automatic discovery stay imposed by the PSLRA is extended during the pendency of a motion for reconsideration. See McGuire v. Dendreon Corp., No. C07-800-MJP, 2009 WL 666863, at *1 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 11, 2009); Powers v. Eichen, 961 F. Supp. 233, 236 (S.D. Cal. 1997). Moreover, although the motion at issue in In re Salomon Analyst Litigation was a renewed motion to dismiss, not a motion for reconsideration, that distinction is of no significance, and Judge Lynch's analysis is fully applicable here:

"Without in any way prejudging the merits of their motion to dismiss, the successive motion here . . . is neither frivolous nor advanced solely to delay the proceedings, but was occasioned by an intervening appellate decision. The Second Circuit has decided

MEMO ENDORSED

CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL LLP



-2-

a case, binding precedent for this Court, that advances new reasoning addressing a significant issue in the case and warrants revisiting the Court's analysis

In view of the policy of the PSLRA to deny discovery until a complaint has been authoritatively sustained by the court, it is appropriate to extend the stay under the present circumstances." 373 F. Supp. 2d at 256.

Accordingly, we respectfully submit that the PSLRA discovery stay should remain in place while the Court considers Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration, and Plaintiffs' request for a pre-motion conference on their intended motion to compel Defendants should be denied without prejudice.

Respectfully submitted,

David G. Januszewski

The Honorable Deborah A. Batts United States District Court Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Room 2510 New York, New York 10007-1312

BY HAND

cc: All Attorneys of Record (via e-mail)

SU CHUEHEL

DEBORAHA, BATTS ///30//

· IEMO ENDOROZD